

Error rates in spatial classification of Gaussian data with random labeling

Lijana Stabingienė, Kęstutis Dučinskas

Klaipėda University, Department of Statistics

H. Manto 84, LT-92294 Klaipėda

E-mail: lijana.stabingiene@gmail.com; kestutis.ducinskas@ku.lt

Abstract. In spatial classification it is usually assumed that features observations given labels are independently distributed. We have retracted this assumption by proposing stationary Gaussian random field model for features observations. The label are assumed to follow Discrete Random Field (DRF) model. Formula for exact error rate based on Bayes discriminant function (BDF) is derived. In the case of partial parametric uncertainty (mean parameters and variance are unknown), the approximation of the expected error rate associated with plug-in BDF is also derived. The dependence of considered error rates on the values of range and clustering parameters is investigated numerically for training locations being second-order neighbors to location of observation to be classified.

Keywords: supervised classification, Gaussian Random Fields, spatial correlation.

Introduction

Spatial supervised classification is a problem of classifying locations (sites) into several categories by learning the features observation and the adjacency relationships with training sample. Switzer [5] was the first to treat classification of spatial data. It is usually assumed that feature observations are independent conditional on class labels (conditional independence) and normally distributed. This approach is widely used in image classification [3].

In the case of complete parametric certainty, the formula of exact error rate due to Bayes classification rule (BCR) under described assumptions is derived by Nishii and Eguchi [4]. In this paper we have derived the above formula by retracting the assumption of conditional independence. The observation of features to be classified is assumed to be dependent on a training sample.

The stationary Gaussian Random Fields (GRF) model for features and DRF model for class labels are considered. In the case of partial parametric uncertainty, the original approximation of the expected error rate associated with plug-in BDF is proposed. This is the generalization of the similar approximations derived in the case of training sample with fixed sampling design and fixed labels [1]. The numerical analysis of derived exact error rate and proposed approximation of the expected error rate is carried out in the case of isotropic exponential spatial correlation function among features observations. For the second-order neighborhood system, the influence of the some statistical model parameters on the values of considered error rates is numerically evaluated.

1 The main concepts and definitions

The main objective of this paper is to classify the feature observations modeled by stationary Gaussian random field $\{Z(s): s \in \mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{R}^2\}$.

The marginal model of observation $Z(s)$ in class Ω_l is

$$Z(s) = \mu_l + \varepsilon(s),$$

where μ_l is constant mean and the error term is generated by zero-mean stationary Gaussian random field $\{\varepsilon(s): s \in \mathcal{D}\}$ with covariance function defined by the following model for all $s, u \in \mathcal{D}$

$$\text{cov}\{\varepsilon(s), \varepsilon(u)\} = \sigma^2 r(s - u),$$

where $r(s - u)$ is the known spatial correlation function and σ^2 is variance as a scale parameter.

Let $L = \{1, 2\}$ be a label set. A label of location $s \in \mathcal{D}$ associated with $Z(s)$ is a random variable $Y(s)$ taking values in L . Let $S_n = \{s_i \in \mathcal{D}; i = 1, \dots, n\}$ be a set of training locations. Set $Y = (Y(s_1), \dots, Y(s_n))'$ and $Z = (Z(s_1), \dots, Z(s_n))'$ and call them labels vector and features vector, respectively.

Thus, the vector $T' = (Z', Y')$ constitutes the training sample.

Suppose that the event $\{T = t\}$ is equivalent to the event $\{Z = z\} \cap \{Y = y\}$, where t, z, y are the realizations of the corresponding random vectors.

Denote by R the matrix of spatial correlations among components of Z . Suppose that S_n is fixed, but the labels are distributed randomly on it.

So for $Y = y$, S_n is partitioned into the union of two disjoint subsets, i.e., $S_n = S_y^{(1)} \cup S_y^{(2)}$, where $S_y^{(l)}$ is the subset of S_n that contains n_l locations with labels equal l , $l = 1, 2$ ($n_1 + n_2 = n$).

Then the model of vector Z for given $Y = y$ is

$$Z = X_y \mu + E_n \tag{1}$$

where X_y is the $n \times 2$ design matrix, $\mu' = (\mu_1, \mu_2)$ and E is the n -vector of random errors that has multivariate Gaussian distribution $N_n(0, \sigma^2 R)$.

Consider the problem of classification (estimation of $Y(s_0)$) of the feature observation $Z_0 = Z(s_0)$, $s_0 \in \mathcal{D}$, $s_0 \notin S_n$ with given training sample T .

Denote by r_0 the vector of spatial correlations between Z_0 and Z given in (1). So we have to deal with conditional Gaussian distribution of Z_0 given $T = t$ with means

$$\mu_{lt}^0 = E(Z_0 | T = t, Y(s_0) = l) = \mu_l + \alpha'_0 (Z - X_y \mu),$$

$l = 1, 2$ and variance

$$\sigma_{0t}^2 = V(Z_0 | T = t, Y(s_0) = l) = \sigma^2 R_{0n}$$

where $\alpha'_0 = r'_0 R^{-1}$, $R_{0n} = 1 - r'_0 R^{-1} r_0$.

2 Error rates of spatial classification

At the beginning we specify the DRF model for class labels.

Denote by $\{\pi(y) = P(Y = y)\}$ the prior distribution of the labels vector Y .

Proposition 1 [Assumption]. *The conditional distribution of $Y(s_0)$ given $T = t$ depends only on $Y = y$, i.e., $\pi_l(y) = P(Y(s_0) = l|T = t)$, $l = 1, 2$.*

Under the assumption that the classes are completely specified the Bayes discriminant function (BDF) [2] minimizing the probability of misclassification is formed by the logarithm of ratio of conditional densities described above. We shall call that situation the case of complete parametric certainty.

Then BDF for classification of Z_0 given $T = t$ is

$$W_t(Z_0) = \left(Z_0 - \frac{1}{2}(\mu_{1t}^0 - \mu_{2t}^0) \right)' (\mu_{1t}^0 - \mu_{2t}^0) / \sigma_{0t}^2 + \gamma(y)$$

where $\gamma(y) = \ln(\pi_1(y)/\pi_2(y))$.

The conditional Mahalanobis distance given $T = t$ is

$$\Delta_{0n} = |(\mu_{1t}^0 - \mu_{2t}^0)| / \sigma_{0t} = \Delta_0 / \sqrt{R_{0n}},$$

where $\Delta_0 = |\mu_1 - \mu_2|/\sigma$ is the marginal Mahalanobis distance. It is obvious that Δ_{0n} depends on S_n but does not depend on t .

Then *conditional Bayes error rate* (for given $T = t$) of classifying Z_0 by BDF $W_t(Z_0)$ is

$$P_0(t) = \sum_{l=1}^2 \pi_l(y) \Phi(-\Delta_{0n}/2 + (-1)^l \gamma(y)/\Delta_{0n}),$$

where $\Phi(\cdot)$ is the standard normal distribution function.

The *exact Bayes error rate* for $W_t(Z_0)$ is

$$E_T(P_0(T)) = \sum_{kyl} \sum_{l=1}^2 \pi(y) \pi_l(y) \Phi(-\Delta_{0n}/2 + (-1)^l \gamma(y)/\Delta_{0n}),$$

where E_T denotes the expectation with respect to T distribution and k differences between classes.

Suppose that means $\{\mu_l\}$ and σ^2 are unknown and need to be estimated from training sample T .

Let $\hat{\mu}$ and $\hat{\sigma}^2$ be the estimates of μ and σ^2 , based on $T = t$. Denote the three component vector of parameters by $\Psi' = (\mu, \sigma^2)$ and denote the vector of their estimates by $\hat{\Psi}' = (\hat{\mu}', \hat{\sigma}^2)$.

The plug-in BDF (PBDF) is obtained by replacing the parameters in BDF with their estimates based on $T = t$. Then PBDF to the classification problem specified above is

$$W_t(Z_0, \hat{\Psi}) = \left(Z_0 - \frac{1}{2}(\hat{\mu}_{1t}^0 + \hat{\mu}_{2t}^0) \right) (\hat{\mu}_{1t}^0 - \hat{\mu}_{2t}^0) / \hat{\sigma}_{0t}^2 + \gamma(y) \quad (2)$$

where $\hat{\mu}_{lt}^0 = E(Z_0|T = t; Y(s_0) = l) = \mu_l + \alpha'_0(z_n - X_y \hat{\mu})$, $l = 1, 2$ and

$$\hat{\sigma}_{0t}^2 = V(Z_0|T = t; Y(s_0) = l) = \hat{\sigma}^2 R_{0n}.$$

In the considered case the *actual error rate* [1] for $W_t(Z_0; \hat{\Psi})$ is specified by

$$P_t(\hat{\Psi}) = \sum_{l=1}^2 \pi_l(y) \Phi(\hat{Q}_l(t)), \quad (3)$$

and for $l = 1, 2$

$$\hat{Q}_l(t) = (-1)^l \left(\left(\mu_{lt}^0 - \frac{1}{2} (\hat{\mu}_{1t}^0 + \hat{\mu}_{2t}^0) \right) \operatorname{sgn} (\hat{\mu}_{1t}^0 - \hat{\mu}_{2t}^0) + \gamma(y) \hat{\sigma}_{0t}^2 / |\hat{\mu}_{1t}^0 - \hat{\mu}_{2t}^0| \right) / \sigma_{0t}. \quad (4)$$

Definition 1. The expectation of the actual error rate with respect to the joint distribution of T designated as $E_T\{P(\hat{\Psi})\}$, is called the expected error rate (EER).

The EER is useful in providing a guide to the performance of PBDF before it is actually formed from training sample. Hence the EER for the considered problem of Z_0 classification by PBDF is

$$E_T(P_T(\hat{\Psi})) = E_T \left\{ \sum_{l=1}^2 \pi_l(Y) \Phi(\hat{Q}_l(T)) \right\}. \quad (5)$$

Set $H = (1, 1)'$, $G = (1, -1)'$. In the present paper we consider increasing domain asymptotic scheme for spatial sampling.

Lemma 1. Suppose that observation Z_0 is to be classified by PBDF specified in (2) and let the assumptions of theorem [1] hold.

Then the asymptotic approximation of EER defined in (5) is

$$\begin{aligned} AEP_0 = & \sum_y \sum_{l=1}^2 \pi_l(y) \pi_l(y) \Phi(-\Delta_{0n}/2 + (-1)^l \gamma(y)/\Delta_{0n}) \\ & + \sum_y \sum_l \pi_l(y) \pi(y) \phi(Q_1(y)) (C(y) + 2\gamma^2(y)/(n-2))/\Delta_{0n} \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

where $\varphi(\cdot)$ denotes the standard normal density function and $C(y) = \Lambda' R_\mu \Lambda \Delta_{0n}^2 / \rho_0$, $\Lambda = X_y' \alpha_0 - H/2 + \gamma(y)G/\Delta_{0n}^2$.

Proof. The proof of lemma is based on Taylor series expansion about points $\mu = \hat{\mu}$ and $\hat{\sigma}^2 = \sigma^2$ of $P_T(\hat{\Psi})$ presented in (3), (4).

Then taking the expectation of the main term of Taylor described above we complete the proof of lemma. For details see the proof of theorem [1].

3 Numerical example and conclusions

Here we analyze numerically the dependence of exact error rate on some statistical model parameters. Suppose D is 2-dimensional rectangular lattice with unit scaling, $S_0 = (0, 0)$ and S_8 is the set of second-order neighbors to S_0 .

We consider the case of model (3) with constant means and isotropic exponential spatial correlation function given by $r(h) = \exp\{-|h|/\alpha\}$, where α is the range parameter. Denote by ρ the clustering parameter or granularity [4]. The non-negative parameter ρ gives the degree of spatial dependency of the class labels.

Set $Y_i = Y(s_i)$, $y_i = y(s_i)$, $i = 1, \dots, n$.

Assume that conditional distribution of $Y(s_0)$ given $Y = y$ is

$$\pi_1(y) = P(Y(s_0) = 1 | Y = y) = 1 / (1 + \exp(\rho(1 - 2n_1/n))),$$

Table 1. Values of $AE\mathcal{P}_0$ for $\Delta_0 = 0.2$ in the upper cell and $\Delta_0 = 3$ in the bottom cell, $\pi_4 = 0.5$, $\pi_3 = \pi_5 = 0.15$, $\pi_2 = \pi_6 = 0.1$.

$\rho \setminus \alpha$	0.5	1	2	3
0	0.45957 0.07479	0.45132 0.03813	0.43569 0.01009	0.42269 0.00257
0.4	0.45462 0.07687	0.44710 0.03933	0.43274 0.01051	0.42078 0.00269
0.8	0.44256 0.08006	0.43633 0.04124	0.42479 0.01113	0.41542 0.00287
1.2	0.42771 0.08459	0.42242 0.04403	0.41396 0.01200	0.40820 0.00311
1.6	0.41229 0.09066	0.40761 0.04782	0.40222 0.01315	0.40078 0.00341
2	0.39718 0.09846	0.39291 0.05271	0.39078 0.01460	0.39447 0.00380

and prior distribution of class labels is $\pi(y) = \pi_{n_1}/C_8^{n_1}$, where $n_1 = \#\{i: y_i = 1, i = 1, \dots, n\}$ and $\pi_{n_1} = P(\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{1}\{Y_i = 1\} = n_1)$, $n_1 = 0, \dots, n$.

So the results of numerical analysis give us arguments to state that the greater clustering of class labels and stronger spatial correlation between feature observations ensures the smaller spatial classification error.

References

- [1] K. Dučinskas. Approximation of the expected error rate in classification of the Gaussian random field observations. *Statistics and Probability Letters*, **79**:138–144, 2009.
- [2] K. Fukunaga. *Introduction to Statistical Pattern Recognition. Second e.* Academic Press, New York, 1990.
- [3] R. Nishii. A markov random field-based approach to decision level fusion for remote sensing image classification. *IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sensing*, **41**(10):2316–2319, 2003.
- [4] R. Nishii and S. Eguchi. Image classification based on markov random field model with jeffreys divergence. *Journal of Multivariate Analysis*, **97**(9):1997–2008, 2006.
- [5] P. Switzer. Extensions of linear discriminant analysis for statistical classification of remotely sensed satellite imagery. *Math. Geol.*, **12**(4):367–376, 1980.

REZIUMĖ

Atsitiktinių Gauso laukų stebinių klasifikavimo klaidos

L. Stabingienė ir K. Dučinskas

Erdvinėje klasifikacijoje paprastai yra daroma prielaida, kad požymių stebiniai yra sąlyginai nepriklausomi. Mes atmetame šią prielaidą Gauso atsitiktinio lauko modelio požymių stebiniams. Yra daroma prielaida, kad visų stebinių žymių modelis yra diskretus atsitiktinis laukas. Tikslios klaidos tikimybės formulė yra gauta Bajeso diskriminantinei funkcijai (BDF) pilnai žinomų parametrų atveju. Nepilnai žinomų parametrų atveju (vidurkių parametrai ir dispersija yra nežinomi), yra gauta nauja tikėtinos klaidos tikimybės aproksimacija, susijusi su įterpta Bajeso diskriminantine funkcija (PBDF). Minėtų klaidos tikimybių priklausomybė nuo statistinių parametrų reikšmių ir aptartų modelių yra ištirta skaitiškai.

Raktiniai žodžiai: klasifikavimas su mokymu, Gauso atsitiktiniai laukai, erdvinė koreliacija.